

ATTENTION

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANNOUNCEMENT TO WALTER S. KOBALKA,
REPORTER OF DECISIONS, AND DEBORAH J. BARRETT AT (614) 466-4961 OR
1-800-826-9010.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY
July 13, 1995

DISMISSALS, SUA SPONTE, NO SUBSTANTIAL CONSTITUTIONAL
QUESTION AND DISCRETIONARY APPEALS, IF APPLICABLE, NOT ALLOWED

95-813. Brown v. Brown.
Franklin County, No. 95APF02-192. On discretionary appeal and on
motion for stay of execution. Appeal and motion denied.

MOTION DOCKET

87-1159. State v. Van Hook.
Hamilton County, No. C-850565. On motion to set execution date.
Motion granted.

95-336. State v. Bilder.
Summit County, No. 16754. On motion for stay of execution. Motion
denied.
Cook, J., not participating.

95-485. State ex rel. Ashland Oil Co. v. Indus. Comm.
Franklin County, No. 93APD11-1594. On motion for stay of execution
pending approval of settlement. Motion granted, stay to last only
thirty days.
F.E. Sweeney, J., dissents.

DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

95-1249. In re Resignation of Boughton.
The resignation as an attorney of Robert Willard Boughton of
Cleveland, Ohio, Attorney Registration No. 0032203, is accepted.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Wright, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer
and Cook, JJ., concur.

95-1301. In re Keith.
Pursuant to the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(5)(A)(3), Jeffrey Carl
Keith of Parma, Ohio, Attorney Registration No. 0007885, is
indefinitely suspended from the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY
July 13, 1995

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

95-996. State v. Alls.
Trumbull County, No. 92-T-4711. This cause is pending before the
court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Trumbull County.
It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not
filed a merit brief, due June 28, 1995, in compliance with the Rules
of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to
prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon
consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is,
dismissed sua sponte, effective July 10, 1995.

95-997. LaGuta v. Anderson.
Richland County, No. 95-CA-16. This cause is pending before the
court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Richland County.
It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not
filed a merit brief, due July 11, 1995, in compliance with the Rules
of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to
prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon
consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is,
dismissed sua sponte.

95-1021. State v. Shorter.

Summit County, No. 16716. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Summit County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due July 3, 1995, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective July 10, 1995.

95-1033. State v. Collins.

Cuyahoga County, No. 64186. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due July 5, 1995, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte, effective July 11, 1995.

95-1363. State v. Zych.

Clermont County, No. CA9211105. Appellant has filed an untimely appeal of the court of appeals' decision denying his application for reopening and a motion for delayed appeal. This appeal involves a civil, post-conviction matter and not an appeal of a felony case to which the provisions for delayed appeal in S.Ct.Prac.R. II(2)(A)(4) apply. Therefore,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that the motion for delayed appeal be, and hereby is, stricken.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that, in that appellant failed to perfect an appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. II(2)(A)(1), this case be, and hereby is, dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.