

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY
August 1, 1996

MERIT DOCKET

96-1605. Smith v. Lorain Cty. Bd. of Elections.
In Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a
complaint for a writ of prohibition. Upon consideration of respondent's answer,
IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.
Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer, Cook and Stratton,
JJ., concur.

MOTION DOCKET

96-1245. State ex rel. Richard v. Seidner.
Lorain County, No. 95CA006193. This cause is pending before the court as an
appeal from the Court of Appeals for Lorain County. Upon consideration of
appellee's motion to strike appellant's merit brief, or alternatively, request
to file merit brief within thirty days of the date the record is filed,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to strike be, and hereby is,
denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that appellee's merit brief be filed
within twenty days of the date of this entry.

Stratton, J., dissents and would grant the motion to strike.

96-1558. State ex rel. Yeager v. Seidner.
Lorain County, No. 96CA006403. This cause is pending before the court as an
appeal from the Court of Appeals for Lorain County. Upon consideration of
appellee's motion to strike appellant's merit brief, or alternatively, request
to file merit brief within thirty days of the date the record is filed,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to strike be, and hereby is,
denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that appellee's merit brief be filed
within thirty days of the filing of the record which was filed July 22, 1996.

Stratton, J., dissents and would grant the motion to strike.

96-1560. State ex rel. Yeager v. Seidner.
Lorain County, No. 96CA006401. This cause is pending before the court as an
appeal from the Court of Appeals for Lorain County. Upon consideration of
appellee's motion to strike appellant's merit brief, or alternatively, request
to file merit brief within thirty days of the date the record is filed,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion to strike be, and hereby is,
denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that appellee's merit brief be filed within thirty days of the filing of the record which was filed July 22, 1996. Stratton, J., dissents and would grant the motion to strike

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

95-1286. State ex rel. Gen. Housewares Corp. v. Indus. Comm.
In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

96-741. State ex rel. Askins v. Indus. Comm.
Franklin County, No. 95APD02-221. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due July 29, 1996, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

96-1389. State v. Schlosser.
Montgomery County, Nos. 14968 and 14976. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and cross-appeal. It appears from the records of this court that appellee/cross-appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of cross-appeal, due July 17, 1996, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the cross-appeal of John D. Schlosser be, and hereby is, dismissed sua sponte.

The appeal of the state of Ohio remains pending.

96-1414. State ex rel. Castilleja v. Glasser.
In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.