

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS AND ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

December 18, 2003

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2003-0300. Darby v. A-Best Products Co.

Cuyahoga App. No. 81270, 2003-Ohio-6001. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County. Upon consideration of appellees' motion for leave to file an additional authority,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the motion for leave to file an additional authority be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that appellees may file the citation to the additional authority within seven days of the date of this entry.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2002-2223. Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Florez.

On application for reinstatement of Michael G. Florez, Attorney Registration No. 0010693. Application granted.

2003-0357. Cuyahoga Cty. Bar Assn. v. Maybaum.

On May 7, 2003, the court suspended respondent for a period of six months and stayed the entire suspension on the condition that no formal complaints of misconduct are filed against respondent. On December 1, 2003, respondent applied for reinstatement. Because the court's stay of his entire suspension renders moot respondent's application for reinstatement,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that respondent's application for reinstatement be stricken.

MISCELLANEOUS ORDERS

In re Report of the Commission :
on Continuing Legal Education. :

E N T R Y

Thomas L. Geer :
(#0011038), :
Respondent. :

This matter originated in this court on the filing of a report by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education (“commission”) pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(A)(1)(b) and (A)(2)(d). The commission recommended the imposition of sanctions against certain attorneys, including the above-named respondent, for failure to comply with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. X, Attorney Continuing Legal Education, for the 1994-1995 reporting period.

On April 14, 1997, this court adopted the recommendation of the commission, imposed a sanction fee upon the respondent, and suspended the respondent from the practice of law pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(6)(B)(3) and (5)(A)(4). The court further ordered that respondent shall not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until respondent complies with the requirements for reinstatement set forth in Gov.Bar R. X(7), respondent complies with the Supreme Court Rules for the Government of the Bar of Ohio, respondent complies with this and all other orders of the court, and this court orders respondent reinstated.

On December 2, 2003, the commission filed a recommendation pursuant to Gov.Bar R. X(7)(B)(2), finding that the respondent has paid all fees assessed for noncompliance, has made up all deficiencies and is now in full compliance with all requirements of Gov.Bar R. X, and recommending that the respondent be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio. On December 2, 2003, the commission certified that respondent had completed the credit hours of continuing legal education required during his suspension by this court’s order of suspension. On December 2, 2003, respondent satisfied all of the requirements of this court’s order of suspension. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED by the court that the recommendation of the commission be adopted and that respondent, Thomas L. Geer, be hereby reinstated to the practice of law.

MEDIATION REFERRALS

The following cases have been returned to the regular docket pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6)(E):

2003-1794. State ex rel. Carmickle v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 02AP-1427, 154 Ohio App.3d 74, 2003-Ohio-4574.

2003-1958. State ex rel. Frisch's Restaurants, Inc. v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 02AP-1092, 2003-Ohio-5078.