

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

June 21, 2012

[Cite as *06/21/2012 Case Announcements*, 2012-Ohio-2750.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2011-1070. State v. Niesen-Pennycuff, Slip Opinion No. 2012-Ohio-2730.

Warren App. No. CA2010-11-112, 2011-Ohio-2704. Judgment reversed and cause remanded.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

Lanzinger, J., concurs in judgment only.

2011-1529. 1495 Jaeger L.L.C. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision, Slip Opinion No. 2012-Ohio-2680.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2009-V-2894. Decision affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

2012-0133. State ex rel. Doe v. Capper, Slip Opinion No. 2012-Ohio-2686.

In Prohibition. Writ granted.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

2012-0176. State ex rel. Sizemore v. Ohio Veterinary Med. Licensing Bd., Slip Opinion No. 2012-Ohio-2725.

Franklin App. No. 11AP-298, 2012-Ohio-63. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

In re Karnofel.

On February 10, 2010, this court found Delores M. Karnofel to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.5 (B). This court further ordered that Karnofel was prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in the court without obtaining leave. On June 15, 2012, Karnofel presented a motion for leave to proceed to file a motion for reconsideration.

It is ordered by the court that the motion for leave to proceed is denied.

2011-0838. State v. Mundy.

Medina App. No. 10CA0039-M. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Medina County.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion to lift stay and remand to the trial court, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied as moot.

2011-1708. Smith v. Landfair.

Summit App. No. 25371. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right.

Upon consideration of the joint motion of appellee and amicus curiae, the Ohio Association for Justice, to participate in oral argument, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. Amicus curiae, the Ohio Association for Justice, shall share the time allotted to appellee.

2012-0821. State v. Franklin.

Geauga App. No. 2010-G-2979, 2012-Ohio-1267. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right.

Upon consideration of appellee's motion to strike appellant's memorandum in support of jurisdiction for failure to provide service, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied. Appellee shall file a memorandum in response within 20 days of the date of this entry.

2012-1035. State ex rel. Muhammad v. State.

Franklin App. No. 11AP-892, 2012-Ohio-2220. This cause was filed as a claimed appeal of right.

Upon consideration of appellant's jurisdictional memorandum, it is determined by the court that this cause originated in the court of appeals and, therefore, should proceed as an appeal of right pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 2.1(A)(1).

It is ordered by the court that the clerk shall issue an order for the transmission of the record from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County, and the parties shall otherwise proceed in accordance with S.Ct.Prac.R. 6.2 through 6.7.

MEDIATION MATTERS

The following cases have been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 17.1(A):

2012-1017. State ex rel. Cline v. Abke Trucking, Inc.

Franklin App. No. 10AP-888, 2012-Ohio-1914.

2012-1018. Reynoldsburg City School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2009-A-1161.

2012-1020. Cleveland Mun. School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2008-K-1228, 2008-K-1233, 2008-K-1243, and 2008-K-1244.

The following case has been returned to the regular docket pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 17.1(E):

2012-0670. Shinkle v. Ashtabula Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2008-K-1756, 2008-K-1757, 2008-K-1758, 2008-K-1759, 2008-K-1760, and 2008-K-1761. The court hereby returns this case to the regular docket under S.Ct.Prac.R. 17.1. Appellant shall file a brief within 40 days of the date of this entry and the parties shall otherwise proceed in accordance with S.Ct.Prac.R. 6.2 through 6.7. As provided in S.Ct.Prac.R. 6.7, the court may dismiss this case or take other action if the parties fail to timely file merit briefs.